

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,632	10/27/2003	Brian G. Connor	S1192.70038US01	6413
7590 01/22/2007 Lawrence M. Green Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			DOWE, KATHERINE MARIE	
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2021311, 11111 02			3734	
	···-			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,632	CONNOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Katherine M. Dowe	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 C	October 2003.					
·— · ·	s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application In the price is a second received in the price is a second in	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	осонс г фриомион				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-66, 68-69, and 71-74, drawn to a surgical instrument.
- II. Claims 67 and 70, drawn to a method for performing a surgical procedure on a patient.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method may be used with a curette containing a sharp edge or a curette containing jaws or serrations.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - 1) Figs 2-11: Jet-assisted curette:
 - 1a) Figs 2-5: Nozzle positioned within cup, cup edge sharpened to form non-liquid jet cutting component
 - 1b) Figs 6-7: Sleeve component (606) contains evacuation lumen and highpressure lumen such that they are separable from curette cup, cup edge sharpened to form non-liquid jet cutting component
 - 1c) Figs 8-11: sleeve component contains evacuation lumen and highpressure lumen such that they are separable from curette cup, cup edge

Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Application/Control Number: 10/695,632

comprises claws or serrations, evacuation lumen includes necked-down region

- →Embodiments of adjustment of longitudinal position of nozzle and evacuation lumen opening with respect to distal end of cup:
- 1c-1) Fig 10: sleeve component longitudinally movable while liquid jet length fixed
- 1c-2) Fig 11: sleeve component position fixed while evacuation lumen and/or high-pressure lumen is moveable with respect to sleeve, allowing liquid jet length to vary
- 2) Figs 13-17: Jet-assisted rongeur-type instrument: liquid jet fragments, disaggregates, and/or cuts tissue by directly impacting tissue with jet
 - 2a) Figs 13-14: provide nozzle at distal end
 - 2b) Figs 15-17: nozzle insert comprising a nozzle ring with a flat distal-facing surface and a hemispherical proximal-facing surface
- 3) Figs 18-20: Jet-assisted rongeur-type instrument: liquid jet fragments, disaggregates, and/or cuts tissue indirectly by driving rotor coupled to a rotatable tissue contacting component
 - 3a) Fig 19: Debris evacuated via evacuation lumen (1835) centrally located along the length of hollow burr shaft (1824)
 - 3b) Fig 20: Debris evacuated through the annular space (2004) surrounding burr shaft and within burr shaft; rotating burr shaft (2002) is solid and does not include evacuation lumen

Art Unit: 3734

4. The species are independent or distinct because the species claimed are not obvious variants and are not capable of use together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Applicant must elect invention I or invention II. Within the elected invention, applicant must elect one species 1, 2, or 3 and within the elected species, applicant must further elect one species a, b, or c. If species 1c is elected, applicant must additionally elect either 1c-1 or 1c-2.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Michael Pomianek on January 11, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,632

Art Unit: 3734

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,632

Art Unit: 3734

Conclusion

Page 6

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine M. Dowe whose telephone number is (571)272-3201. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Hayes can be reached on (571)272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katherine Dowe January 11, 2007

MICHAEL J. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER